

MATERIALITY ASSESSMENT (CONTINUED)

Sample size

Our sample size for this year was very limited. This year, the focus was on engaging with the different stakeholders. Hence, the survey was sent to a limited number of stakeholders in order to gather their insights.

Stakeholder Groups contacted

Customers

Shareholders/Investors

Employees

Society (e.g. NGOs, associations)

Suppliers & Service Provider

Government & Regulators

Media

On behalf of AfrAsia Bank, we asked the management team to respond to the same survey as the one sent to the stakeholders. However, the questions were rephrased in order to reflect to which extent AfrAsia Bank is currently addressing those same elements.

The way forward

This survey represents our first concrete step in engaging with all our stakeholders on sustainability topics. Our next step in this direction is to improve our methodology in creating the Materiality Matrix.

Several key improvement areas were identified to achieve this goal:

1. Improve the complexity of questions (Better alignment with Global Reporting Initiative);
2. Conduct face-to-face workshops with each category of stakeholders to find a consensus on a score for each topic (rather than an e-survey);
3. Use variance and standard deviations to increase the robustness and reliability of our scoring methodology;
4. Our sample will now be more representative of the population of each category of stakeholders.

RESULTS & ANALYSIS

In order to analyse the responses of the survey, two methodologies were applied. In both cases, an average was done for each question asked to the senior management of AfrAsia Bank, which was used to plot the point on the X-Axis. The variation between the two methodologies lies in the way the responses of the stakeholders were analysed.

Method A simply looked at the average of the scores given by all stakeholders per question. This allowed us to have an estimate of how important a particular topic is for all stakeholders.

Method B was slightly more complicated whereby we calculated the average of the scores per question for each stakeholder group contacted for the survey. And we further did an average of those different scores in order to have one single score per question.

A comparison was done between the two methodologies and no significant variation was found. We, however, preferred Method B as it removed the bias of having more participants in one stakeholder group i.e. we gave equal importance to Customers, Shareholders/Investors, Employees, Society (NGOs, Associations), Supplier & Service Provider, Government & Regulators, Media.

